NU SKIN ENTERPRISES INC Form DEF 14A April 20, 2012

## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

#### SCHEDULE 14A

## Proxy Statement Pursuant to Section 14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (Amendment No. )

Filed by the Registrant þ Filed by a Party other than the Registrant o

Check the appropriate box:

0	Preliminary Proxy Statement
0	Confidential, for Use of the Commission Only (as permitted by Rule 14a-6(e)(2))
þ	Definitive Proxy Statement
0	Definitive Additional Materials
0	Soliciting Material Pursuant to §240.14a-12

#### NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

## (Name of Registrant as Specified In Its Charter)

(Name of Person(s) Filing Proxy Statement, if other than the Registrant)

Payment of Filing Fee	(Check the appropriate box):		
þ	No fee required.		
0	Fee computed on table below per Exc	hange Act Rules 14a-6(i)(1) and 0-11.	
	(1)	Title of each class of securities to which transaction applies:	
	(2)	Aggregate number of securities to which transaction applies:	
	(3)	Per unit price or other underlying value of transaction computed pursuant to Exchange Act Rule 0-11 (set forth the amount on which the filing fee is calculated and state how it was determined):	
	(4)	Proposed maximum aggregate value of transaction:	
	(5)	Total fee paid:	

Fee paid previously with preliminary materials.

0

Check box if any part of the fee is offset as provided by Exchange Act Rule 0-11(a)(2) and<br/>identify the filing for which the offsetting fee was paid previously. Identify the previous filing<br/>by registration statement number, or the Form or Schedule and the date of its filing.(1)Amount Previously Paid:(2)Form, Schedule or Registration Statement No.:(3)Filing Party:(4)Date Filed:

#### NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS OF

#### NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

## May 21, 2012

NOTICE IS HEREBY GIVEN that the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") of Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., a Delaware corporation, will be held at 2:00 p.m., Mountain Daylight Time, on May 21, 2012, at our corporate offices, 75 West Center Street, Provo, Utah 84601, for the following purposes, which are more fully described in the Proxy Statement:

1. To elect a Board of Directors consisting of eight directors to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;

2. To advise as to our executive compensation;

- 3. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012; and
- 4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

The Board of Directors has fixed the close of business on April 5, 2012, as the record date for determining the stockholders entitled to receive notice of and to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

You are cordially invited to attend the Annual Meeting in person. However, to ensure your representation at the Annual Meeting, please mark, sign, date and return the accompanying proxy as promptly as possible in the enclosed postage-prepaid envelope. If you attend the Annual Meeting, you may, if you wish, withdraw your proxy and vote in person.

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Annual Meeting to be Held on May 21, 2012: The proxy statement and annual report to stockholders are available at http://materials.proxyvote.com/67018T.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

BLAKE M. RONEY Chairman of the Board Provo, Utah, April 20, 2012

#### PROXY STATEMENT

#### NU SKIN ENTERPRISES, INC.

## ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS TO BE HELD ON MAY 21, 2012

#### SOLICITATION OF PROXIES

The accompanying proxy is solicited on behalf of the Board of Directors of Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc. ("Nu Skin," "we," "us," or "the company") for use at the Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the "Annual Meeting") to be held at our corporate offices, 75 West Center Street, Provo, Utah 84601, on May 21, 2012, at 2:00 p.m., Mountain Daylight Time, and at any adjournment or postponement thereof, for the following purposes, which are more fully described in this Proxy Statement:

1. To elect a Board of Directors consisting of eight directors to serve until the next annual meeting of stockholders or until their successors are duly elected and qualified;

2. To advise as to our executive compensation;

- 3. To ratify the selection of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2012; and
- 4. To transact such other business as may properly come before the Annual Meeting or any adjournment or postponement thereof.

All shares represented by each properly executed, unrevoked proxy received in time for the Annual Meeting will be voted as directed by the stockholder. In the absence of specific instructions, proxies will be voted in accordance with the Board of Directors' recommendations "FOR ALL NOMINEES" for the election of directors, "FOR" Proposal 2, and "FOR" Proposal 3. Although it is anticipated that each nominee will be able to serve as a director, should any nominee become unavailable to serve, proxies will be voted for such other person or persons as may be designated by the Board of Directors. If any other matters properly come before the Annual Meeting, including, among other things, consideration of a motion to adjourn the Annual Meeting to another time or place, the persons named in the accompanying proxy will vote on such matters in accordance with their best judgment.

Any proxy duly given pursuant to this solicitation may be revoked by the person or entity giving it at any time before it is voted by delivering a written notice of revocation to our Corporate Secretary, by executing a later-dated proxy and delivering it to our Corporate Secretary, or by attending the Annual Meeting and voting in person (although attendance at the Annual Meeting will not in and of itself constitute a revocation of the proxy). Directions to our corporate offices may be obtained by calling (801) 345-1000, for stockholders who plan to attend the Annual Meeting.

These proxy solicitation materials were first sent or given to our stockholders on or about April 20, 2012. We will bear the cost of solicitation of proxies. Expenses include reimbursements paid to brokerage firms and others for their

expenses incurred in forwarding solicitation material regarding the Annual Meeting to beneficial owners of our voting stock. Solicitation of proxies will be made by mail. Our regular employees may further solicit proxies by telephone or in person and will not receive additional compensation for such solicitation.

## OUTSTANDING SHARES AND VOTING RIGHTS

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on April 5, 2012 are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. As of the record date, approximately 62,454,120 shares of our Class A Common Stock were issued and outstanding. Each outstanding share of Class A Common Stock will be entitled to one vote on each matter submitted to a vote of the stockholders at the Annual Meeting.

In order to constitute a quorum for the conduct of business at the Annual Meeting, a majority of the issued and outstanding shares of the Class A Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting must be represented, either in person or by proxy, at the Annual Meeting. Under Delaware law, shares represented by proxies that reflect abstentions or "broker non-votes" (which are shares held by a broker or nominee that are represented at the Annual Meeting, but with respect to which such broker or nominee is not empowered to vote on a particular proposal) will be counted as shares that are present and entitled to vote for purposes of determining the presence of a quorum. However, broker non-votes will not be voted on proposals on which your broker or other nominee does not have discretionary authority to vote under the rules of the New York Stock Exchange, including Proposals 1 and 2.

Directors will be elected by a favorable vote of a plurality of the shares of Class A Common Stock entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting. The eight nominees receiving the highest number of votes will be elected to serve as directors. Shares not represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, abstentions and broker non-votes will have no effect on the election of directors. Approval of Proposals 2 and 3 will each require the affirmative vote of a majority of the votes cast affirmatively or negatively. Shares not represented in person or by proxy at the Annual Meeting, abstentions, and broker non-votes will have no effect on the approval of Proposals 2 and 3. Proposal 2 is a stockholder advisory vote and will not be binding on the Board of Directors.

#### SECURITY OWNERSHIP OF CERTAIN BENEFICIAL OWNERS AND MANAGEMENT

The following table sets forth certain information regarding the beneficial ownership of our Class A Common Stock as of March 1, 2012, by (i) each person (or group of affiliated persons) who is known by us to own beneficially more than 5% of the outstanding shares of the Class A Common Stock, (ii) each of our directors and director nominees, (iii) each of our executive officers whose name appears in the summary compensation table under the caption "Executive Compensation," and (iv) all of our executive officers and directors as a group. Unless otherwise indicated in the footnotes to the table, the business address of the 5% stockholders is 75 West Center Street, Provo, Utah 84601, and the stockholders listed have direct beneficial ownership and sole voting and investment power with respect to the shares beneficially owned. For each individual and group included in the table below, percentage ownership is calculated by dividing the number of shares beneficially owned by such person or group by the sum of the 63,196,150 shares of Class A Common Stock outstanding on March 1, 2012, plus the number of shares of Class A Common Stock that such person or group had the right to acquire within 60 days after March 1, 2012.

Directors, Executive		
Officers, 5% Stockholders	Number of Shares	%
Blake and Nancy Roney (1)	3,438,051	5.4
Sandra Tillotson (2)	1,915,845	3.0
Steven Lund (3)	832,284	1.3
Truman Hunt (4)	637,058	1.0
Joseph Chang (5)	296,723	*
Daniel Chard (6)	246,215	*
Ritch Wood (7)	175,344	*
Daniel Campbell (8)	101,700	*
Andrew Lipman (9)	98,000	*
E.J. "Jake" Garn (10)	93,500	*
Thomas Pisano	33,100	*
Nevin Andersen (11)	24,600	*
Patricia Negrón (12)	13,650	*
David Ussery (13)	12,000	*
Neil Offen	0	*
FMR LLC (14)	8,026,405	12.7
Royce & Associates, LLC (15)	7,132,982	11.3
All directors and executive officers as a group (17	8,252,648	12.7
persons) (16)		

Less than 1%

(1)Includes 3,216,458 shares of Class A Common Stock held by a family limited liability company. Mr. and Mrs. Roney are co-managers of the limited liability company and share voting and investment power with respect to all shares held by the limited liability company. Also includes 58,648 shares of Class A Common Stock held indirectly by Mr. Roney as trustee and with respect to which he has sole voting and investment power, for which Mr. Roney disclaims beneficial ownership, and 125,445 shares of Class A Common Stock held indirectly by Mr. Roney as co-trustee with respect to which he shares voting and investment power, for which Mr. Roney disclaims beneficial ownership. Also includes 37,500 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Roney had the right to acquire within 60 days.

\*

Includes 29,312 shares of Class A Common Stock held indirectly as co-trustee and with respect to which Ms. Tillotson shares voting and investment power, for which Ms. Tillotson disclaims beneficial ownership. Also includes 15,000 shares of Class A Common Stock that Ms. Tillotson had the right to acquire within 60 days.

(3) Includes 715,101 shares of Class A Common Stock held by a family limited liability company. Mr. and Mrs. Lund are co-managers of the limited liability company and share voting and investment power with respect to all shares held by the limited liability company. Also includes 72,462 shares of Class A Common Stock held indirectly by Mr. Lund as trustee and with respect to which he has sole voting and investment power, for which Mr. Lund disclaims beneficial ownership; and 7,221 shares of Class A Common Stock held indirectly by Mr. Lund as co-trustee with respect to which he has shared voting and investment power, for which Mr. Lund disclaims beneficial ownership. Also includes 37,500 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Lund had the right to acquire within 60 days.

- (4) Includes 493,750 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Hunt had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (5) Includes 232,936 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Chang had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (6) Includes 218,124 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Chard had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (7) Includes 151,874 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Wood had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (8) Includes 82,500 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Campbell had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (9) Includes 77,600 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Lipman had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (10) Includes 77,600 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Garn had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (11) Includes 20,100 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Andersen had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (12)Includes 6,150 shares of Class A Common Stock with respect to which Ms. Negron shared voting and investment power. Includes 5,000 shares of Class A Common Stock that Ms. Negrón had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (13) Includes 150 shares of Class A Common Stock that Mr. Ussery had the right to acquire within 60 days.
- (14) The information regarding the number of shares beneficially owned or deemed to be beneficially owned by FMR LLC was taken from a Schedule 13G filed by that entity with the Securities and Exchange Commission dated February 13, 2012. The address of FMR LLC is 82 Devonshire Street, Boston, MA 02109.
- (15) The information regarding the number of shares beneficially owned or deemed to be beneficially owned by Royce & Associates, LLC was taken from a Schedule 13G filed by that entity with the Securities and Exchange Commission dated January 19, 2012. The address of Royce and Associates, LLC is 745 Fifth Avenue, New York, NY 10151.
- (16)Includes 1,728,631 shares of Class A Common Stock that all of our executive officers and directors as a group had the right to acquire within 60 days.

## PROPOSAL 1

## ELECTION OF DIRECTORS

Directors are elected at each annual meeting of stockholders and hold office until their successors are duly elected and qualified at the next annual meeting of stockholders. Our Bylaws provide that the Board of Directors will consist of a minimum of three and a maximum of fifteen directors, with the number being designated by the Board of Directors. The current number of authorized directors is twelve. In connection with the election of directors at our Annual Meeting, the number of authorized directors will be reduced to eight.

Each of our current directors was previously elected to his or her present term of office by our stockholders, with the exception of Neil H. Offen, who was appointed to the Board of Directors in July 2011 by a unanimous vote of the Board of Directors. Mr. Offen was recommended by Truman Hunt, our Chief Executive Officer. Each of the nominees is currently a director of our company. Blake M. Roney has accepted a three-year volunteer ecclesiastical leadership assignment and will not stand for reelection. E.J. "Jake" Garn, Sandra N. Tillotson and David Ussery have elected to retire from the Board of Directors and will also not stand for reelection.

# THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS RECOMMENDS THAT STOCKHOLDERS VOTE FOR EACH OF THE EIGHT NOMINEES TO OUR BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Set forth below are the name, age as of April 20, 2012, and business experience of each of the eight nominees for election as our directors, listed in alphabetical order:

Nevin N. Andersen, 71, has served as a director of our company since June 2008. Mr. Andersen is currently retired. Mr. Andersen previously served in various positions, including Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer, Vice President and Corporate Controller, and Director of Internal Audit at Shaklee Corporation, a direct selling company, from June 1979 to February 2003, when he retired. He was asked to return to Shaklee Corporation for a period of time to serve as the Interim Chief Financial Officer and to help in the transition with a new Chief Financial Officer, which role he fulfilled from March 2005 to February 2008. Prior to initially working at Shaklee Corporation in 1979, he worked for Price Waterhouse & Co., and served as an officer in the U.S. Army Finance Corps. He received M.Acc and B.S. degrees from Brigham Young University.

Mr. Andersen is an experienced financial professional. His ten years as a CPA with Price Waterhouse provided him with valuable experience in the areas of audit, internal control and financial reporting, and his more than 25 years with Shaklee Corporation added to that knowledge and expertise by allowing him to focus on those issues directly related to the operations of a public company in the direct selling industry. Mr. Andersen's areas of expertise include corporate strategy, risk management, succession planning, executive compensation, shareholder communication and regulatory compliance.

Daniel W. Campbell, 57, has served as a director of our company since March 1997 and currently serves as our lead independent director. Mr. Campbell has been a Managing General Partner of EsNet, Ltd., a privately held investment company, since 1994. He has served on the Utah State Board of Regents for Higher Education since June 2010. From 1992 to 1994, Mr. Campbell was the Senior Vice President and Chief Financial Officer of WordPerfect Corporation, a software company, and prior to that was a partner of Price Waterhouse LLP. From November 2003 to August 2009, Mr. Campbell served as a director of The SCO Group, Inc., a provider of software solutions for businesses. He received a B.S. degree from Brigham Young University.

Mr. Campbell is a recognized business leader with expertise in the areas of finance, accounting, transactions, corporate governance and management. In addition, through his experience as a partner of an international accounting firm, and later as Chief Financial Officer of a large technology company, Mr. Campbell has developed deep insight into the management, operations, finances and governance of public companies.

M. Truman Hunt, 53, has served as our President since January 2003 and our Chief Executive Officer since May 2003. He has also served as a director of our company since May 2003. Mr. Hunt joined our company in 1991 and has served in various positions, including Vice President and General Counsel from 1996 to January 2003 and Executive Vice President from January 2001 until January 2003. Mr. Hunt is also a trustee of the Nu Skin Force for Good Foundation. He received a B.S. degree from Brigham Young University and a J.D. degree from the University of Utah.

As our President and Chief Executive Officer for the past nine years, Mr. Hunt has developed a deep understanding of our business globally. Mr. Hunt's leadership has been integral to the success of several of our key initiatives in recent years. Mr. Hunt is also recognized as a leader in the direct selling industry and has served in a variety of industry trade association leadership roles, including as Chairman of the World Federation of Direct Selling Associations from 2005 to 2008.

Andrew D. Lipman, 60, has served as a director of our company since May 1999. Mr. Lipman is a partner and head of the Telecommunications, Media and Technology Group of Bingham McCutchen LLP, an international law firm. Mr. Lipman previously held a similar position from 1988 with Swidler Berlin, LLP, which merged with Bingham McCutchen in 2006. He also currently serves as a member of the boards of directors of Sutron Corporation, a provider of hydrological and meteorological monitoring products, and The Management Network Group, Inc., a telecommunications related consulting firm. He received a B.A. degree from the University of Rochester and a J.D. degree from Stanford Law School.

Mr. Lipman is a highly experienced senior lawyer and business advisor with over 30 years of experience dealing with international regulatory, technology and marketing issues in multiple countries. In addition, he has extensive experience in corporate governance and related legal and transactional issues. Mr. Lipman has worked closely with dozens of public companies, including service on the boards of a variety of companies in several industries. His experience also includes managing and implementing strategic initiatives and launching new products and markets globally in competitive industries.

Steven J. Lund, 58, has served as a director and Vice Chairman of our company since September of 2006. Mr. Lund served as President, Chief Executive Officer, and a director of our company from 1996, when our company went public, until 2003. Mr. Lund was a founding stockholder of our company. Mr. Lund is a trustee of the Force for Good Foundation, a charitable organization that was established in 1996 by our company to help encourage and drive the philanthropic efforts of our company, its employees, its distributors and its customers to enrich the lives of others. Mr. Lund worked as an attorney in private practice prior to joining our company as Vice President and General Counsel. He received a B.A. degree from Brigham Young University and a J.D. degree from Brigham Young University's J. Reuben Clark Law School.

Mr. Lund brings to the Board over 25 years of company and industry knowledge and experience as a senior executive, including service as our General Counsel, Executive Vice President, and President and Chief Executive Officer. He has played an integral role in managing our growth from start-up through his term as President and Chief Executive Officer from 1996 to 2003. Mr. Lund also served on the executive board of the Direct Selling Association. A respected business and community leader, he currently serves on the board of trustees of Utah Valley University.

Patricia A. Negrón, 45, has served as a director of our company since June 2005. Since 2001, Ms. Negrón has worked as an independent business consultant to private clients. From February 2006 to April 2010 she was an advisor to Goode Partners, LLC, a private equity firm. In 1999, Ms. Negrón launched the financial advisory group at Breakaway Solutions, an internet consulting firm, which she managed until 2001. Previously, Ms. Negrón was Vice President, Equity Research at the investment banking firm Adams, Harkness & Hill, where she specialized in following direct selling companies. From 1992 until 1996, she managed the corporate governance division, and later expanded into equity research and managing the firm's econometric model, at United States Trust Company, Boston. She has a B.S. degree from Armstrong Atlantic State University and a Certificate of Special Studies in Administration and Management from Harvard University Extension School.

Ms. Negrón is a seasoned financial and business analyst with more than 15 years of professional experience in equity research and analysis in the banking, brokerage and strategy consulting industries. In addition to her experience in working closely with top executives on development and implementation of a wide range of strategic initiatives, she has a deep understanding of corporate governance matters dating back nearly 20 years to her work with activist investors on matters such as compensation, board structure and anti-takeover provisions.

Neil H. Offen, 67, has served as a director of our company since July 2011. Mr. Offen previously served as president and chief executive officer of the Direct Selling Association from 1978 through June of 2011, when he retired. In addition, he served as secretary of the World Federation of Direct Selling Associations from 1978 to July 2012 and as vice chairman of the Direct Selling Education Foundation from 1990 to June 2012. Before joining DSA as a staff attorney in 1971, Mr. Offen was legislative and administrative assistant to a United States Congressman and, prior to that, served with the U.S. Department of State's Agency for International Development. Mr. Offen has published both legal and non-legal articles and has lectured on a variety of topics at numerous universities. Mr. Offen received a B.A. from Queens College and a J.D. degree from George Washington University.

With 40 years of service and leadership in the direct selling industry, Mr. Offen has an extensive understanding of the opportunities and challenges of our industry. In addition, Mr. Offen has developed relationships with many other leaders both inside and outside our industry. Mr. Offen serves on the board of directors of Christel House International and the Advisory Board of Queens College. Mr. Offen has also served as vice chair of the board of directors of the Inter-American Foundation, on the board of trustees of the Hudson Institute and the board of directors of the U.S. Chamber of Commerce Foundation, the Council of Better Business Bureaus, National Retail Federation, the Small Business Legislative Council, the Ethics Resource Center, the American Society of Association Executives and the Democratic Business Council.

Thomas R. Pisano, 67, has served as a director of our company since June 2008. He served as Chief Executive Officer and a Director of Overseas Military Sales Corp., a marketer of motor vehicles, from January 2005 until his retirement in April 2010. From August 1998 to December 2004, he served as the Chief Operating Officer and a Director of Overseas Military Sales Corp. From February 1995 to December 1997, he served as Vice President, Head of the International Division, for The Topps Company, Inc., a sports publications and confectionery products company. Prior to that, he served in various positions, including Vice President, Global New Business Development, for Avon Products, Inc., a direct seller of personal care products, from 1969 to 1994. He received a B.S. from the Georgia Institute of Technology and an M.B.A. from Dartmouth College.

Mr. Pisano is an experienced senior executive who is an expert in the direct selling, personal care, beauty products and other consumer goods industries. During his 25 year career at Avon Products, Inc., he was responsible for global new business development, which included new geographic market openings and launching new product lines globally. He was also responsible for the operation of international businesses in Latin America, Europe and Asia. During his international business career at Avon, Topps and OMSC he traveled to and conducted business in 50 countries.

We are not aware of any family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers. Our Certificate of Incorporation contains provisions eliminating or limiting the personal liability of directors for violations of a director's fiduciary duty to the extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law.

#### CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

#### Director Independence

The Board of Directors has determined that each of the current directors, listed below, is an "independent director" under the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange.

Nevin Andersen	Andrew Lipman	Patricia Negrón	David Ussery
Daniel Campbell	E.J. "Jake" Garn	Thomas Pisano	Neil H. Offen

In assessing the independence of the directors, the Board of Directors determines whether or not any director has a material relationship with us (either directly or as a partner, shareholder or officer of an organization that has a relationship with us). The Board of Directors considers all relevant facts and circumstances in making independence determinations, including the existence and scope of any commercial, industrial, banking, consulting, legal, accounting, charitable and familial relationships.

## Board Leadership Structure

We currently separate the roles of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer. However, the Board has not adopted a policy with regard to whether the same person should serve as both the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board or, if the roles are separate, whether the Chairman of the Board should be selected from the non-employee directors or should be an employee. The Board believes it is most appropriate to retain the discretion and flexibility to make such determinations at any given point in time in the way that it believes best to provide appropriate leadership for the company at that time. We have determined that our current separation of the roles of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board is appropriate given the differences in the roles and duties of the two positions and the individuals currently serving in these positions.

#### **Risk Oversight**

The Board of Directors administers its risk oversight function primarily through the Audit Committee, Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Executive Compensation Committee. The committees are responsible for overseeing and discussing with management our risk assessment and risk management programs and plans related to the following risk areas:

#### Audit Committee

- major financial risk exposures;
- operational risks related to information systems and facilities; and
  - public disclosure and investor related risks.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

- corporate governance risks;
- operational risks not assigned to the Audit Committee;
  - compliance and regulatory risks; and
    - reputational risks.

**Executive Compensation Committee** 

- compensation practices related risks; and
  - human resources risks.

The chairs of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Executive Compensation Committee report to the Audit Committee regarding their respective risk oversight responsibilities.

Board of Directors Meetings

The Board of Directors held seven meetings during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011. Each incumbent director attended more than 75% of the total number of meetings of the Board of Directors and the total number of meetings of all committees of the Board of Directors on which that director served during the period. Although we encourage board members to attend our annual meetings of stockholders, we do not have a formal policy regarding director attendance at annual stockholder meetings. Eight of the current directors attended our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders.

The non-management directors meet regularly in executive sessions without the management directors or other members of management. Daniel Campbell, the lead independent director, presides at such executive sessions.

We have standing Audit, Executive Compensation and Nominating and Corporate Governance Committees. Each member of the committees is independent within the meaning of the listing standards of the New York Stock Exchange. In addition, the Board of Directors has formed special committees for special projects and other matters.

The following table identifies the current membership of the committees and states the number of committee meetings held during 2011.

C C		Executive	Nominating and Corporate
Director	Audit	Compensation	Governance
Nevin Andersen	X*		
Daniel Campbell	Х	$X^*$	
E. J. "Jake" Garn		Х	Х
Andrew Lipman		Х	X*
Patricia Negrón	Х	Х	
Thomas Pisano	Х		Х
Neil Offen	Х		Х
David Ussery		Х	Х

Edgar Filing: NU SKIN ENTERPRISES INC - Form DEF 14A				
Number of Meetings in 2011	10	10	10	
*		Committee cha	ir	

The Board of Directors has adopted a written charter for each of the committees, which are available at our website at http://www.nuskinenterprises.com.

The Board of Directors has determined that Nevin Andersen and Daniel Campbell are Audit Committee financial experts as such term is defined in Item 407(d)(5) of Regulation S-K promulgated by the Securities and Exchange Commission.

The Audit Committee's responsibilities include, among other things:

- selecting our independent auditor;
- reviewing the activities and the reports of our independent auditor;
- approving in advance the audit and non-audit services provided by our independent auditor;
- reviewing our quarterly and annual financial statements and our significant accounting policies, practices and procedures;
  - reviewing the adequacy of our internal controls and internal auditing methods and procedures;
    - overseeing our compliance with legal and regulatory requirements;
- overseeing our risk assessment and risk management programs and plans related to our major financial risk exposures, operational risks related to information systems and facilities, and public disclosure and investor related risks; and
- conferring with the chairs of the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and Executive Compensation Committee regarding their respective oversight of our risk assessment and risk management programs and our related guidelines and policies.

The Executive Compensation Committee's responsibilities include, among other things:

- overseeing and approving compensation policies and programs;
- reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to the compensation to be paid to our Chief Executive Officer and other executive officers;
- establishing the salaries, bonuses, and other compensation to be paid to our Chief Executive Officer as well as approving the compensation for the other executive officers;
  - administering our incentive plans;
  - overseeing regulatory compliance with respect to executive compensation matters; and
- overseeing our risk assessment and risk management programs and plans related to our compensation practices and human resources.

Pursuant to its charter, the Executive Compensation Committee may delegate its authority to a subcommittee or subcommittees and may delegate authority to the Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board to approve the level of equity awards to be granted to specific non-executive officers, employees or other grantees subject to such limitations as may be established by the Executive Compensation Committee. For a discussion of the processes and procedures for determining executive and director compensation and the role of compensation consultants in determining or recommending the amount or form of compensation, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" and "Compensation of Directors".

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee's responsibilities include, among other things:

- making recommendations to the Board of Directors about the size and membership criteria of the Board of Directors or any committee thereof;
- identifying and recommending candidates for the Board of Directors and committee membership, including evaluating director nominations received from stockholders;
- leading the process of identifying and screening candidates for a new chief executive officer when necessary, and evaluating the performance of the chief executive officer;
- determining compensation for the Board of Directors and overseeing the evaluation of the Board of Directors and management;
  - developing and recommending to the Board a set of corporate governance guidelines; and
- overseeing our risk assessment and risk management programs and plans related to our corporate governance risks, operational risks not assigned to the Audit Committee, compliance and regulatory risks, and reputational risks.

#### Our Director Nominations Process

As indicated above, the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors oversees the director nomination process. This committee is responsible for identifying and evaluating candidates for membership on the Board of Directors and recommending to the Board of Directors nominees to stand for election.

Minimum Criteria for Members of the Board of Directors. Each candidate to serve on the Board of Directors must possess the highest personal and professional ethics, integrity and values, and be committed to serving the long-term interests of our stockholders. Other than the foregoing, there are no stated minimum criteria for director nominees, although the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee may consider such other factors as it may deem appropriate, which may include, without limitation, professional experience, diversity of backgrounds, skills and experience at policy-making levels in business, government, financial, and in other areas relevant to our global operations, experience and history with our company, and stock ownership.

We do not have a formal policy with regard to the consideration of diversity in identifying Board of Directors nominees, but the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee strives to nominate directors with a variety of complementary skills so that, as a group, the Board of Directors will possess the appropriate talent, skills, and expertise to oversee our business.

Process for Identifying, Evaluating and Recommending Candidates. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee will consider director candidates recommended by stockholders if properly submitted to the committee. Stockholders wishing to recommend candidates should do so in writing to the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, c/o Corporate Secretary, Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., 75 West Center Street, Provo, Utah 84601. Please refer to the section below entitled "Stockholder Proposals for 2013 Annual Meeting" for further information. The committee may also consider candidates proposed by current directors, management, employees and others. All such

candidates who, after evaluation, are then recommended by the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee and approved by the Board of Directors, will be included in our recommended slate of director nominees in our proxy statement. Procedures for Stockholders to Nominate Director Candidates at our Annual Meetings. Stockholders of record may also nominate director candidates for our annual meetings of stockholders by following the procedures set forth in our Bylaws. Please refer to the section below entitled "Stockholder Proposals for 2013 Annual Meeting" for further information.

Additional Corporate Governance Information

We have also adopted the following:

Code of Conduct. Our code of conduct applies to all of our employees, officers and directors, including our subsidiaries. As noted below, this code is available on our website. In addition, any substantive amendments we make to this code, and any material waivers we grant (including implicit waivers) to our principal executive officer, principal financial officer, principal accounting officer or controller, or persons performing similar functions will be disclosed on our website.

Corporate Governance Guidelines. Our corporate governance guidelines govern our company and our Board of Directors on matters of corporate governance, including responsibilities, committees of the Board of Directors and their charters, director independence, director qualifications, director compensation and evaluations, director orientation and education, director access to management, director access to outside financial, business and legal advisors and management development and succession planning.

Stock Ownership Guidelines. Our stock ownership guidelines apply to our directors and executive officers. These guidelines provide that executive officers and directors must retain 50% to 75% of the net shares (after payment of the exercise price and related taxes) with respect to any equity award unless the individual holds a number of shares equal to the ownership levels set forth in the guidelines. The ownership levels are phased in over five years from the date of appointment or election. Unvested equity awards and vested options are not counted in determining whether a director or executive officer holds shares equal to or greater than the designated level. At the end of the five-year phase-in period, the designated ownership levels are set at 100,000 shares for our Chief Executive Officer, 5,000 shares for directors and 20,000 shares for our other executive officers.

Each of the above is available on our website at http://www.nuskinenterprises.com. In addition, stockholders may obtain a print copy of any of the above, free of charge, by making a written request to Investor Relations, Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., 75 West Center Street, Provo, Utah 84601.

#### Communications with Directors

Stockholders or other interested parties wishing to communicate with the Board of Directors, the non-management directors as a group, or any individual director may do so in writing by addressing the correspondence to that individual or group, c/o Corporate Secretary, Nu Skin Enterprises, Inc., 75 West Center Street, Provo, Utah 84601. All such communications will be initially received and processed by our Corporate Secretary. Accounting, audit, internal accounting controls and other financial matters will be referred to our Audit Committee chair. Other matters will be referred to the Board of Directors, the non-management directors, or individual directors as appropriate.

#### **Compensation of Directors**

Our Board of Directors periodically reviews director compensation. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee is responsible for evaluating director compensation from time to time and making any adjustments it determines are appropriate. The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee has retained the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co. as its independent compensation consultant to assist in the review of our director compensation program, to provide compensation data and alternatives, and to provide advice as requested. For additional information regarding our independent compensation consultant, see "Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Use of Compensation Consultants and Survey Data".

In 2011, each director who did not receive compensation as an executive officer or employee of our company or our affiliates in 2011 received an annual retainer fee of \$50,000, a fee of \$1,500 for each meeting of the Board of Directors or any committee meeting thereof attended, and an additional fee of \$1,000 for each committee meeting attended if such director was the chair of that committee. The lead independent director, the Audit Committee chair and all other committee chairs received additional annual retainer fees of \$20,000, \$15,000 and \$10,000, respectively, for their service in those positions. In addition, we compensated directors \$1,500 per day for corporate events or travel we required. Directors were also reimbursed for certain expenses incurred in attending Board of Directors and committee meetings and other corporate events. We also provided company products to our directors for their use. In 2011, each non-management director also received 5,000 stock options and a number of restricted stock units with a grant date value of \$51,000, which will all vest one day prior to the 2012 Annual Meeting.

Director Compensation Table - 2011

The table below summarizes the compensation earned by or paid to each of our directors in 2011 except for Blake Roney and Truman Hunt, whose compensation is reported in the executive compensation tables. Truman Hunt, Blake Roney, Steven Lund and Sandra Tillotson each serve as directors, but as company employees they receive no compensation for their services as directors.

	Fees Earned or		1	All Other	
	Paid in Cash	Stock Awards O	ption Awards Co	mpensation	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(1)	(\$)	(\$)
Nevin				14,465	225,756
Andersen(2)	106,500	50,284	54,507		
Daniel		50,284	54,507	14,517	253,808
Campbell(2)	134,500				
E.J. "Jake" Garn	87,500	50,284	54,507		- 192,291
Andrew		50,284	54,507	10,450	230,241
Lipman(2)	115,000				
Patricia Negrón	95,000	50,284	54,507		- 199,791
Neil Offen	71,000	50,200	58,372		- 179,572
Thomas Pisano	95,000	50,284	54,507		- 199,791
David Ussery	92,000	50,284	54,507		- 196,791
Steven Lund(3)				1,010,359	1,010,359
Sandra		_		816,966	816,966
Tillotson(4)					

(1)During the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, Messrs. Andersen, Campbell, Garn, Lipman, Pisano and Ussery and Ms. Negrón each received 1,372 restricted stock units and 5,000 stock options and Mr. Offen received 1,261 restricted stock units and 5,000 stock options. The amounts reported in these columns reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and do not represent amounts actually received by the director. For this purpose, the estimate of forfeitures is disregarded and the value of the stock awards is discounted to reflect that no dividends are paid prior to vesting. For information on the valuation assumptions used in calculating these amounts, refer to Note 12 to our financial statements in the Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

The outstanding stock and option awards held at December 31, 2011 by each of the listed individuals are set forth in the chart below:

		Option
Name	Stock Awards	Awards
Nevin		
Andersen	1,372	25,100
Daniel		
Campbell	1,372	82,500
E.J. "Jake" Garn	1,372	77,600
Andrew	1,372	
Lipman		77,600
Patricia	1,372	
Negrón		5,000
Neil Offen	1,261	5,000
Thomas Pisano	1,372	5,000
David Ussery	1,372	5,000
Steven Lund		- 25,000
Sandra	_	
Tillotson		10,000

- (2) For Messrs. Andersen, Campbell, and Lipman, the "All Other Compensation" column reports our incremental cost for perquisites and personal benefits, including company products and spouse travel to distributor events where the spouse is expected to attend and help entertain and participate in events with distributors and their spouses.
- (3)For Mr. Lund the "All Other Compensation," column reports Mr. Lund's compensation as an employee of the company for 2011, including a salary of \$550,000, an incentive plan bonus of \$387,678, discretionary bonuses of \$23,417 and other compensation of \$49,264, including our incremental cost for perquisites and personal benefits including company products, life insurance premiums, prizes at company parties, spouse travel to distributor events where the spouse is expected to attend and help entertain and participate in events with distributors and their spouses, and \$27,910 for tax payments for distributor event related spouse travel.
- (4) For Ms. Tillotson, the "All Other Compensation," column reports Ms. Tillotson's compensation as an employee of the company for 2011, including a salary of \$440,000, an incentive plan bonus of \$310,142, discretionary bonuses of \$18,833 and other compensation of \$47,991, including our incremental cost for perquisites and personal benefits including company products, health and life insurance premiums, prizes at company parties, compensation for attending and speaking at international distributor events, guest travel to distributor events where the guest is expected to attend and help entertain and participate in events with distributors and their spouses, and \$3,234 for tax payments for distributor event related guest travel.

# SECTION 16(a) BENEFICIAL OWNERSHIP REPORTING COMPLIANCE

Section 16(a) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended, requires our executive officers and directors and persons who own beneficially more than 10% of a registered class of our equity securities to file with the Securities and Exchange Commission and the New York Stock Exchange initial reports of ownership and reports of changes in

ownership of our equity securities. Executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners are required to furnish us with copies of all Section 16(a) reports they file. Based solely upon a review of the copies of such reports furnished to us or written representations that no other reports were required, we believe that during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011, all executive officers, directors, and greater than 10% beneficial owners complied with all applicable Section 16(a) filing requirements, except that we inadvertently filed one late report for Daniel Chard, with respect to one transaction.

# EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

#### Compensation Discussion and Analysis

**Executive Summary** 

The primary objectives of our executive compensation program are to successfully recruit, motivate and retain experienced and talented executives, provide competitive compensation arrangements that are tied to corporate and individual performance and align the financial interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

We believe that our executive compensation program is one of several key factors that have driven our strong revenue and earnings per share growth in recent years. In 2011, we reported annual revenue of \$1.74 billion, a 13% year-over-year improvement, including a 6% positive impact from foreign currency fluctuations. Our share price increased approximately 63% in 2011. Earnings per share in 2011 increased to \$2.38, or \$2.69 excluding non-cash charges of \$32.8 million associated with a Japan customs ruling, compared to \$2.11 in 2010 on a diluted basis. Earnings per share excluding Japan customs expense is a non-GAAP financial measure and a reconciliation to GAAP and other information appears on pages 68 and 69 of our 10-K filed February 27, 2012.

In addition to strong financial results, we achieved several significant strategic objectives, including the following:

- Continued development of our anti-aging product platform, with plans for the development and launch of new products over the next several years;
  - Successful development and global launch of multiple products;
    - Accelerated sales force growth; and
  - Increased global alignment of our sales force and management.

Our executive compensation program includes base salary, cash incentive bonuses, equity awards, and retirement benefits. A majority of each named executive officer's target compensation is based on corporate performance, which helps align their total compensation with our actual performance. We award performance-based cash incentive bonuses designed to motivate our executive officers to achieve quarterly and annual revenue and operating income performance levels. To minimize potential risk-taking incentives and windfalls, aggregate bonuses are limited to double the annual target bonus. Our executive compensation program also emphasizes long-term equity incentives, which, coupled with our stock ownership guidelines, reward sustainable performance and align the financial interests of our executives with those of our stockholders. In 2011, each of the named executive officers was provided 50% or more of their annual equity grants in the form of performance stock options and performance restricted stock units. In 2011, our chief executive officer was provided 60% of his equity awards and 82% of his grant value in equity with a performance contingency.

At our 2011 annual meeting of stockholders, over 98% of the votes cast were in favor of our executive compensation program. When designing our 2012 executive compensation program, the Committee considered, among other things, the 2011 voting results and other feedback we received from our stockholders and determined not to make any significant changes to the design of our executive compensation program for 2012.

## Overview

Our executive compensation program consists of a variety of components, including base salary, cash incentive bonuses, equity awards, and retirement benefits. This compensation discussion and analysis is intended to provide greater visibility regarding:

- our compensation objectives;
- various components of our compensation program and how they relate to our compensation objectives;
  - factors taken into consideration in establishing executive compensation; and
- decisions related to the 2011 compensation of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and the other executive officers listed in the summary compensation table (the "named executive officers"), and the factors and analysis pertaining to such decisions.

## Objectives

The primary objectives of our compensation program are to:

- successfully recruit, motivate and retain experienced and talented executives;
- provide competitive compensation arrangements that are tied to corporate and individual performance; and
  - align the financial interests of our executives with those of our stockholders.

The following table identifies the key components of our compensation program and the primary objectives of each component:

Component of Compensation Program Base Salary	Primary Objective Pay for role Retention Recruitment
Cash Incentive Plan	Short–term incentive Pay for performance Quarterly and annual operating achievement Stockholder alignment
Equity Incentive Plan	Long-term incentive Pay for performance Stock price performance Stockholder alignment

We also provide retirement benefits in the form of a 401(k) plan and a deferred compensation plan, as well as limited perquisites and other personal benefits to executives that represent a very small portion of their overall compensation.

#### Process for Determining Compensation

Role of Executive Compensation Committee and Chief Executive Officer

The Executive Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors (the "Committee") is responsible for establishing and administering our executive compensation program. The Committee, together with the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee, evaluates the performance of the Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer. The Committee is then responsible for setting their compensation. The Committee has delegated to the Chief Executive Officer the responsibility for evaluating the performance of the other executive officers and sharing those evaluations with the Committee. The Chairman and the Chief Executive Officer can also make recommendations to the Committee with regard to the compensation packages for other executive officers. The Committee reviews any such recommendations and has the authority to approve, revise, or reject such recommendations.

#### Use of Compensation Consultant and Survey Data

The Committee has retained the services of Frederic W. Cook & Co. as its independent compensation consultant to assist the Committee in the review of our executive compensation program, to provide compensation data and alternatives to the Committee, and to provide advice to the Committee as requested. The compensation consultant engaged by the Committee does not perform any work for us outside of the services it performs for the Committee and for the Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee with respect to director compensation. The Committee utilizes the compensation data and alternatives provided by the compensation consultant to analyze compensation decisions in light of current market rates and practices, and to help ensure that our compensation decisions are competitive and economically defensible.

Peer group information and other data are among several factors used by the Committee in making compensation decisions. The Committee compares compensation proposals to the compensation practices of a peer group of publicly-traded companies that compete in our industry or are similar in size to us. For 2011, the competitive cash compensation data provided by Frederic W. Cook & Co. includes limited use of national survey data calibrated for all industries for companies with similar revenue levels as us. The Committee reviews and updates the peer group from time to time to ensure we are utilizing an appropriate group in terms of size and relevance. The peer group was most recently reviewed and revised in 2011, taking into account the input and recommendations of Fredric W. Cook & Co. At the time of the revision, to avoid potential distortion from differences in peer size, the revenue and market capitalization of the companies included in the peer group ranged between 25% and 400% of our revenue and market capitalization, and we were at the median of the peer group with respect to revenue and near the median with respect to market capitalization.

The following companies are included in our revised peer group. Because of the similarity of Herbalife's business model to our business model, Herbalife's compensation data are double-weighted.

Alberto Culver Company Church & Dwight Co., Inc. Elizabeth Arden, Inc. Energizer Holdings, Inc. The Hain Celestial Group, Inc. Helen of Troy Limited Herbalife Ltd. International Flavors and Fragrances Inc. Nutrisystem, Inc. Perrigo Company Revlon, Inc. Sally Beauty Holdings, Inc. Sensient Technologies Corporation Tupperware Brands Corporation Ulta Salon, Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc. Vitamin Shoppe, Inc.

Newell Rubbermaid Inc.

Weight Watchers International, Inc.

Risks Arising From Compensation Policies and Practices

In establishing and reviewing the components of compensation, the Committee considers potential risks associated with such components. In addition, our management conducted a review of our compensation policies and practices for employees and concluded that risks arising from our compensation policies and practices for employees are not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on us.

In reaching this conclusion, our management considered the following factors:

- Our compensation programs are market driven, targeted around the median and balance short-term incentives with significant long-term equity incentives. Performance equity awards provide additional long-term incentives to our key employees and executive officers. In addition, our stock ownership guidelines help to ensure that a portion of our executives' equity incentives remain tied to our long-term performance.
- Our global cash incentive compensation is based on revenue and operating income, which are core measures of performance. In addition, substantially all of our revenue is received through cash or credit card payments, which minimizes risk associated with our revenue-based incentives. To further reduce risk, we have taken steps to cap bonuses under our cash incentive plans at reasonable levels. Additionally, the Board of Directors and management regularly review the business plans and strategic initiatives, including related risks, proposed to achieve such performance metrics.
- We do not engage in speculative trading and we do not provide incentives for our management or employees to engage in such practices.

### Mix of Compensation

When the Committee reviews an executive officer's compensation, it does not use a specific formula or allocation target to establish the level or mix of compensation. Rather, it exercises judgment in determining a compensation package that is appropriate to accomplish our compensation objectives under the circumstances applicable to the executive officer. The Committee also takes into consideration the relative mix of compensation provided by other companies in our peer group and tries to ensure each component is competitive. Historically, we have tied a majority of target compensation to corporate performance under our cash incentive plan and equity incentive plan.

The Committee also takes into consideration each executive officer's "Total Direct Compensation" as a market check against the total direct compensation of executive officers in our peer group. "Total Direct Compensation" consists of base salary, cash bonuses, and valuation of equity grants using grant date valuations. The value of perquisites and retirement benefits is not included in the calculation of Total Direct Compensation because we do not view the value of these benefits as being materially different from those offered by other companies. The Committee regularly reviews these other benefits to confirm that they remain relatively consistent with the value of perks and retirement benefits provided by our peer companies. Based on our 2011 peer group compensation review, our target Total Direct Compensation in 2011 was slightly above the median of our peer group, however, our equity program included higher performance requirements than many of our peers.

#### Components of Compensation

#### **Base Salaries**

Base salaries are provided to reflect the individual's responsibilities, function, and competencies. In establishing and approving base salaries, the Committee considers various factors including:

- current market practices and salary levels;
- each executive officer's responsibilities, experience in their position and capabilities;
  - individual performance and company performance;
- competitive offers made to executive officers and the level of salary that may be required to recruit or retain executive officers; and
- the recommendations of the Chairman of the Board and the Chief Executive Officer for executive officers other than themselves.

Base salaries for executive officers are typically reviewed annually during our evaluation period in the first quarter. The Committee does not assign specific weights to the factors identified above, but emphasizes establishing base salaries that are competitive in order to attract and retain qualified and effective executive officers.

In the first quarter of 2011, the Committee adjusted the base salaries of Messrs. Hunt, Wood and Chard from \$866,000 to \$930,000, from \$410,000 to \$440,000 and from \$410,000 to \$425,000, respectively, to maintain competitiveness based on our peer group and in consideration of their individual performance and contributions. The Committee also considered our performance, with strong revenue and earnings per share growth in 2010, despite challenging economic conditions globally. Based on our 2011 peer group compensation review, all of our named executive officers, except Joseph Chang, had a salary that was below the median.

Cash Incentive Bonuses

Consistent with our objective to tie a significant portion of the executive officers' compensation to our financial performance, we award performance-based cash incentive bonuses, under our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan. We believe these bonuses motivate executive officers and reward them for achieving short-term operating performance levels.

Cash incentive bonuses are split evenly between revenue and operating income performance levels. The Committee believes revenue is an appropriate measure of management's effectiveness in growing the business and that operating income measures the effectiveness of management in growing the business in a profitable manner. The Committee also believes an even split is appropriate for us because management performance is tied equally to growing the business and increasing profitability. Our incentive plan allocates 50% of the cash incentive bonus to annual performance levels and 50% to quarterly performance levels, with 12.5% allocated to each quarter. We believe allocating a portion of the cash incentive bonus to quarterly performance levels motivates focused performance throughout each quarter, while the annual portion provides a meaningful incentive to achieve strong annual results.

Cash incentive bonuses are computed based on the degree to which goal performance levels are met or exceeded. If goal performance levels are met for a particular incentive period, a participant will earn a cash incentive bonus equal

to a pre-established percentage of salary, the "target bonus". If goal performance levels are not met, the bonus decreases linearly until reaching 50% of the target bonus at the minimum performance levels. No bonus is paid if minimum operating income performance levels are not met. To the extent actual revenue or operating income exceed goal performance levels, the bonus increases linearly above the target bonus until reaching 200% of the target bonus at the stretch performance levels. For actual revenue or operating income above the stretch performance levels in a given quarter or for the year, the bonus increases linearly above 200% of the target bonus 1% for every 1% that actual performance exceeds the stretch performance level. However, although a named executive officer's bonus earned for revenue or operating income performance in a given quarter or for the year may separately exceed 200% of the associated target bonus, the aggregate annual bonus is limited to 200% of the aggregate annual target bonus.

We set the target bonus as a percentage of base salary based on an executive officer's position and responsibility and market practices. The target bonus is intended to tie a significant portion of an executive officer's total cash compensation to our performance. Consistent with prior years and in line with the market practices of our peer group, we set the 2011 target bonus percentage at 100% of salary for Mr. Hunt and 60% of salary for our other named executive officers. To motivate and reward individual performance on key performance criteria, up to 20% of the 2011 cash incentive bonus of each named executive officer was based on individual performance goals. For 2011, the target annual cash compensation for our executive officers, including salary and target bonus, was slightly below the median of our peer group. Based on strong performance in 2011, actual annual cash compensation was higher than target.

In establishing minimum revenue and operating performance levels (the level at which 50% of the target bonus is paid), goal revenue and operating performance levels (the level at which 100% of the target bonus is paid) and stretch revenue and operating income performance levels (the level at which 200% of the target bonus is paid), the Committee considered various factors, including our recent performance and current business plans, desired core growth rates, general business and economic conditions and business risks. For 2011, our goal performance levels were set above analysts' estimates and above the median of growth rates for our peer group. To provide incentives that are earned for operating performance that is within the control of the named executive officers, the performance levels are based on constant currency rates and exclude certain items determined to be appropriate by the Committee at the time the performance levels were established, such as non-cash charges associated with Japan customs litigation.

Stretch performance levels are not necessarily set at 200% of the full performance levels, but are set at a level that the Committee considers to represent extraordinary performance based on the factors considered. The following tables set forth the correlation between minimum, goal and stretch performance levels for 2011, measured as a percentage of full performance levels, together with the percentage of target bonus that could be earned at such levels.

	Minimum	Goal	Stretch
Revenue			
Percentage of goal performance	96.1%	100.0%	105.6%
level			
Percentage of target bonus paid	50.0%	100.0%	200.0%

The percentage of target bonus earned increases 12.8% for every 1% increase in achievement of the goal revenue performance level from the minimum revenue performance level to the goal revenue performance level, and 17.9% for every 1% increase in excess of the goal revenue performance level from the goal revenue performance level to the stretch revenue performance level.

	Minimum	Goal	Stretch
Operating Income			
Percentage of goal performance	92.9%	100.0%	111.2%
level			
Percentage of target bonus paid	50.0%	100.0%	200.0%

The percentage of target bonus earned increases 7.0% for every 1% increase in achievement of the goal operating income performance level from the minimum operating income performance level to the goal operating income performance level, and 8.9% for every 1% increase in excess of the goal operating income performance level from the goal operating income performance level to the stretch operating income performance level.

It is also important to note that although the performance levels are expressed as "revenue" and "operating income," the Committee actually focused on desired core growth rates, determined on a constant currency basis and excluding certain predetermined items, to be achieved from the prior year in establishing the appropriate minimum, goal and stretch performance levels. For example, the goal revenue performance level for the annual period in 2011 represented a 5.8% growth rate over 2010 and the goal operating income performance level represented a 7.1% growth rate over 2010. The growth rates associated with the stretch performance levels for revenue and operating income were at least double the growth rates associated with the goal performance levels. Actual performance represented growth rates that were approximately 133.2% and 132.2% higher than the growth rates associated with the revenue and operating income goal performance levels, respectively.

As established by the Committee, the percentage of target bonus paid for actual quarterly and annual revenue and operating income performance was calculated as follows:

- For actual performance between the minimum performance levels and the full performance levels, the percentage of target bonus paid is equal to 100% [(100% 50%) x (actual performance full performance level) / (minimum performance level full performance level)].
- For actual performance between the full performance levels and the stretch performance levels, the percentage of target bonus paid is equal to 100% + [(200% 100%) x (actual performance full performance level) / (stretch performance level full performance level)].
- For actual performance exceeding the stretch performance levels, the percentage of target bonus paid is equal to 100% + (actual performance / stretch performance level).

The table below sets forth the operating income and revenue performance levels for the incentive periods in 2011, the actual performance, the percentage of the full performance levels achieved, and the percentage of the target bonus that was paid. We have included the growth rates over the prior-year period to help provide a clearer understanding of the performance levels under the incentive plan. The total dollar amount of the bonuses earned is set forth in the Summary Compensation Table.

	(dollar amounts expressed in thousands)							
	Q1 2011 Q2 2011 Q3 2011 Q4 2011 Annua							
Revenue (50% weight)								
Goal performance level(1)	\$382,612	\$407,698	\$407,029	\$429,430	\$1,626,769			
(Constant currency growth rate over prior year)	5.1%	5.0%	6.1%	7.0%	5.8%			
Actual performance	\$376,858	\$391,752	\$399,756	\$487,691	\$1,656,057			
(Constant currency growth rate over prior year)	3.5%	0.9%	4.2%	21.6%	7.7%			

Percentage of goal performance level achieved	98.5%	96.1%	98.2%	113.6%	101.8%
Percentage of target bonus paid	83.2%	54.9%	75.0%	206.5%	132.4%
Operating Income (50% weight)					
Goal performance level(2) (Constant currency growth rate over prior year)	\$51,280 11.2%	\$60,264 1.9%	\$59,997 13.4%	\$60,913 3.4%	\$232,454 7.1%
Actual performance (Constant currency growth rate over prior year)	\$52,655 40.7%	\$55,340 4.7%	\$57,924 59.4%	\$71,522 31.0%	\$237,441 23.4%
Percentage of goal performance level achieved	102.7%	91.8%	96.5%	117.5%	102.1%
Percentage of target bonus paid	125.2%	51.2%	75.8%	202.0%	119.1%

(1)Minimum revenue performance levels for the four quarterly and annual periods were \$365,500, \$390,000, \$392,500, \$416,000, and \$1,564,000, respectively. Stretch revenue performance levels were \$401,406, \$427,948, \$429,892, \$458,053, and \$1,717,298, respectively.

(2)Minimum operating income performance levels for the four quarterly and annual periods were \$46,412, \$55,220, \$55,715, \$58,568, and \$215,915, respectively. Stretch operating income performance levels were \$56,726, \$65,132, \$66,597, \$70,105, and \$258,560, respectively.

21

For 2011, the total aggregate annual bonus earned was 117.5% of the aggregate annual target bonus. Differences between actual results reported in the table above and results reported in our audited financial statements are a result of the difference between the exchange rates used in our financial statement and constant currency rates used to measure performance under the incentive plan, as well as the exclusion of certain items determined to be appropriate by the Committee at the time the performance levels were established, such as non-cash charges associated with Japan customs litigation. To facilitate comparisons between the incentive period and the prior-year period (i.e., to help measure core growth rates in the targets), the currency rates used to establish the goals and measure performance were the exchange rates that were used in the prior-year period.

## Other Bonus

We believe that it is appropriate to reward our executive officers for superior individual performance in exceptional circumstances. In 2011, we elected to award a \$25,000 discretionary bonus to Mr. Chard in recognition of his significant contributions to the successful global introduction of new products in the fourth quarter of 2011, which generated over \$100 million in sales.

## Annual Equity Grants

Aligning the interests of our executive officers with those of our stockholders is an important objective of our compensation program. In order to accomplish this objective, we tie a significant portion of the total compensation of executive officers to our long-term stock performance through the grant of equity awards and our stock ownership guidelines. We also believe that equity compensation helps motivate executive officers to drive earnings growth because they will be rewarded with increased equity value, and assists in the retention of executive officers who may have significant value tied up in unvested equity awards.

We periodically review and adjust the level of our equity awards. We do not use a fixed formula or criteria in determining whether to adjust the level of equity awards, but subjectively evaluate a variety of factors consisting of:

- practices of peer companies;
- degree of responsibility for overall corporate performance;
  - overall compensation levels;
  - changes in positions and/or responsibilities;
    - individual and corporate performance;
  - potential dilution of our overall equity grants;
- accumulated realized and unrealized value of equity awards;
  - associated expenses of such awards;
- recommendations of the Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer with respect to the other executive officers; and
  - recommendations of our compensation consultant.

Historically, we have fixed the number of equity awards to be granted on an annual basis and have used compensation survey data to confirm that our equity practices are reasonable compared to our peers. While we generally have not given significant consideration to the value of existing equity awards because we want to ensure that our equity compensation is competitive for the position on an annualized basis and we want to provide an incentive from the date of grant, we periodically review and consider the value of existing awards (inclusive of stock sales proceeds over the previous three years) of our executive officers in connection with our review of equity compensation practices. We have not typically granted Mr. Roney annual equity awards because of the size of his equity position in the company. In evaluating annual option grant levels, we have also considered the annualized number of special performance stock options granted to Messrs. Hunt, Wood, Chang and Chard in 2010, annualized over their anticipated vesting period.

While we consider time-vested stock options to be performance based because the stock price must increase after the grant for them to be valuable, we believe that the performance nature of our equity grants is enhanced by making a portion of equity grants in the form of performance options or performance restricted stock units. Accordingly, as reflected in the following table, each of the named executive officers was granted 50% or more of their 2011 annual equity awards in the form of performance stock options and performance restricted stock units.

					rcentage nance-Based
Named Executive Officer	Performance Stock Options	Performance Restricted Stock Units	Time-Vested Stock Options	Number of Awards	Grant Date Fair Value
Truman Hunt Ritch Wood	_ 17,500	- 75,000 10,000	50,000 27,500	60% 50%	82% 62%

Daniel Chard	17,500	10,000	27,500	50%	62%
Joseph Chang	7,500	5,000	12,500	50%	63%

The 2011 annual equity awards of the named executive officers were generally above the median for our peer group, but will be reduced to below the median if the performance criteria of the performance awards are not met.

## Timing of Equity Grants

We have historically made semi-annual equity grants to our executive officers in February and August each year to allow for option exercise price averaging, overlapping vesting and more regular consideration of individual performance. In 2011, we granted annual performance-vesting stock options, annual time-vesting and performance-vesting restricted stock units and half of annual time-vesting stock options to executive officers in February, followed by an August grant of the remaining half of annual time-vesting stock options. The exercise price for stock options is set at the closing price of our stock on the date of grant. We split the annual time-vesting options into two semi-annual grants rather than one annual grant in order to minimize the impact of stock volatility on the exercise price. The Committee meets on or before the proposed grant date to review the award list and approve the grant. We also grant a limited number of equity awards at other times, which are generally related to special incentives, new hires, promotions, or other changes in job responsibilities.

### Stock Ownership Guidelines

Our stock ownership guidelines are designed to motivate our executive officers to consider the long-term consequences of business strategies and to provide a level of long-term performance risk with respect to our compensation programs. These guidelines provide that executive officers must retain 50% to 75% of the net shares (after payment of the exercise price and related taxes) with respect to any equity award unless the individual holds a number of shares equal to the ownership levels set forth in the guidelines. The ownership levels are phased in over five years from appointment as an executive officer. Unvested equity awards and vested options are not counted in determining whether an executive officer holds shares equal to or greater than the designated level. At the end of the five-year phase-in period, the designated ownership levels are set at 100,000 shares for our Chief Executive Officer and 20,000 shares for our executive officers. As of March 1, 2012, based on the \$57.91 closing sales price for our Class A common stock on the New York Stock Exchange, these levels of ownership were valued at \$5,791,000 and \$1,158,200, respectively. Based on these values, the ownership level required for our Chief Executive Officer represents approximately 6.3 times Mr. Hunt's 2011 base salary and the ownership level required for executive officers represents approximately 2.1 times the average of the 2011 base salaries of Messrs. Wood, Roney, Chang and Chard. As of March 1, 2012, all of our executive officers owned more than the designated number of shares under our stock ownership guidelines.

### Retirement and Other Post-Termination Benefits

Our executive officers do not participate in any pension or defined benefit plan. We believe it is important for retention purposes to provide executive officers with a meaningful opportunity to accumulate savings for their retirement. To accomplish this objective, we maintain both a tax-qualified 401(k) plan and a nonqualified deferred compensation plan. We do not make any matching contributions under the deferred compensation plan. We do make a discretionary contribution of up to 10% of each executive officer's salary, which is allocated between the executive officer's 401(k) and deferred compensation plan accounts. The company contributions vest 50% at 10 years of service and 5% each year thereafter. The vested company contributions will not be paid out, however, if the participant competes with us during the one-year period following termination of employment. This non-compete limitation terminates after the participant has reached 20 years of service or age 60.

The employment agreement entered into by Mr. Hunt when he was appointed President and Chief Executive Officer in 2003 contains certain severance and change of control benefits. These benefits provide for acceleration of his equity awards upon the announcement of a transaction that would result in a change of control and lump sum severance benefit in the event his employment is terminated within two years following a change of control. Mr. Hunt is also

entitled to an excise tax gross-up on his cash severance benefits. These benefits were negotiated at the time Mr. Hunt became the President and Chief Executive Officer and we believe these benefits help ensure Mr. Hunt will remain employed and actively engaged in the event of a potential change of control. We have also agreed to certain severance payments for Mr. Hunt if he is terminated without cause, which we believe are reasonable and necessary in order to attract and retain a qualified Chief Executive Officer.

Mr. Chang is also entitled to have his stock options vest in the event of a change of control and is also entitled to certain severance benefits. We also have a severance arrangement with Mr. Chard. These change of control and severance benefits are described and quantified below under the section entitled "Employment Agreements" and in the table below entitled "Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change of Control." Neither of these named executive officers have any excise tax gross-up rights and we did not provide an excise tax gross-up benefit to any other employee in 2011, whether through a new agreement or amendment of an existing agreement.

Our current equity awards for key employees also provide for accelerated vesting upon a change of control if an employee is terminated within two-years following such change of control. We believe this double trigger acceleration is a reasonable way to protect employees who may be terminated following a change of control. It also assists us in retaining their services in the event of a potential change of control. We believe such arrangements are in the best interests of us and our stockholders if they are reasonable in amount and scope, because they can help to retain key employees during a change of control process.

#### Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits

We provide our executive officers and other key employees with other limited benefits and perquisites. These consist of, among other things, payments for term life insurance, use of company-provided vehicles, properties, sporting event tickets, company products, corporate and distributor event related spouse travel and prizes at company parties. We do not reimburse executive officers for the income taxes associated with these perquisites except for limited business related perks such as spouse travel to distributor events where the spouse is expected to attend and help entertain and participate in events with distributors and their spouses. We have elected to pay the income taxes for these business-related perks because we believe they are business expenses. These benefits represent a very small portion of an executive officer's overall compensation and provide a benefit to us and our stockholders. The amount of these benefits is included in the All Other Compensation Table that follows the Summary Compensation Table.

### Tax Limitations on Deductibility

We have taken into consideration the limitation on deductibility for United States income tax purposes of compensation in excess of \$1 million paid to our Chief Executive Officer and the three other most highly compensated executive officers employed at the end of the year (other than our Chief Financial Officer) by structuring a significant portion of our compensation as performance-based. Our current cash incentive plan and equity incentive plan have been approved by our stockholders, and the awards under these plans can qualify as "performance-based" for purposes of the deductibility limitations. While we try to structure compensation so that it will be deductible for income tax purposes, we also exercise judgment and may authorize compensation payments that do not comply with the exemptions in Section 162(m) when we believe that such payments are appropriate and in the best interests of us and our stockholders. Typically, we have not had to address 162(m) issues as most of our compensation is performance based.

## EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE REPORT

We have reviewed and discussed with management the Compensation Discussion and Analysis to be included in this proxy statement. Based on the reviews and discussions referred to above, we recommend to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis referred to above be included in this proxy statement. EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Daniel Campbell, Chairman E.J. "Jake" Garn Andrew Lipman Patricia Negrón David Ussery

26

#### Summary Compensation Table

The following table summarizes the total compensation paid to or earned by each of the named executive officers for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2009, December 31, 2010 and December 31, 2011.

Name and Principal		Salary	Bonus	Stock Awards		Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation		Total
Position	Year	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(3)	(\$)(4)	(\$)(5)	(\$)
Truman Hunt	2011	919,391	<i>41 250′</i>	2,312,063	510 835	1,092,546	139,604	5,015,689
President and Chief Executive		866,346	,	1,884,750	,	, ,	,	5,293,886
Officer	2009	776,849	49,832		<del>-6</del> 89,852	1,413,672	119,493	3,049,698
Ritch Wood		435,000	18,833	,	432,109	,	,	1,585,836
Chief Financial		404,167	20,800	,	788,673	,	,	1,981,131
Officer	2009	371,154	21,485	-	-234,550	385,288	73,381	1,085,858
Daniel Chard	2011	422,500	43,208	308,275	432,109	299,569	72,831	1,578,492
President, Global Sales	2010-	404,167	17,483	251,300	788,673	443,330	74,006	1,978,959
and Operations	2009	371,154	21,485		<del>-2</del> 34,550	385,288	73,156	1,085,633
Blake Roney	2011	800,000	33,833			— 563,895	52,392	1,450,120
Chairman of the		791,667	37,664	-		— 865,032	,	1,712,025
Board	2009	750,000	43,119	-	-137,970	770,576	37,726	1,739,391
Joseph Chang	2011	525,000	22,375	154,138	192,487	370,056	84,318	1,348,373
Chief Scientific	2010	525,000	22,275	125,650	593,498	567,678	85,409	1,919,511
Officer and Executive Vice President,	2009.	500,000	428,697	1,216,000	206,956	530,446	79,243	2,961,342
Product								
Development								

- (1) Mr. Hunt and Mr. Chang deferred a portion of their salaries under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, which is included in the Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table. Each of the named executive officers, except Mr. Roney, also contributed a portion of his salary to our 401(k) retirement savings plan.
- (2) The amounts reported in this column include gift payments that we have historically made to all corporate employees as year-end holiday gifts in the form of a gift certificate or similar merchant credit arrangement, or cash in an amount equal to a percentage of each employee's base salary (approximately two weeks of salary). The amount reported in this column in 2011 for Mr. Chard includes a special discretionary bonus. The amounts reported in this column for 2009 include a special discretionary bonus in an amount equal to a percentage of each named executive officer's base salary (approximately one week of salary). The amounts reported in this column for 2009 include a special discretionary bonus in an amount equal to a percentage of each named executive officer's base salary (approximately one week of salary). The amounts reported in this column for 2009, also include discretionary bonus stock granted on February 20, 2009, with a grant date closing price of \$10.41 per share, with the named executive officers receiving the following number of shares: Mr. Hunt, 9,817;

Messrs. Wood and Chard, 2,749; Mr. Roney, 5,890; and Mr. Chang, 3,927. In connection with this discretionary bonus stock grant, Messrs. Hunt and Roney were granted the right to surrender a portion of the shares to satisfy related tax withholding obligations. The amounts reported in this column for Mr. Chang include retention bonuses paid pursuant to Mr. Chang's employment contract for his continued service through December 31, 2009.

(3) The amounts reported in these columns reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of equity awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and do not represent amounts actually received by the named executive officers. For this purpose, the estimate of forfeitures is disregarded and the value of the stock awards is discounted to reflect that no dividends are paid prior to vesting. For information on the valuation assumptions used in calculating these amounts, refer to Note 12 to our financial statements in the Form 10-K filed for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2011.

(4) The amounts reported in this column for 2011 are cash awards to the named executive officers made pursuant to our 2010 Omnibus Incentive Plan. The amounts reported in this column for 2009 and 2010 are cash awards to the named executive officers made pursuant to our 2006 Senior Executive Incentive Plan. See the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Cash Incentive Bonuses" for information regarding these awards. Mr. Hunt and Mr. Chang deferred a portion of their incentive bonuses under our nonqualified deferred compensation plan, which is included in the "Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Table".

See the "All Other Compensation Table" below for additional information.

(5)

#### All Other Compensation Table - 2011

The following table describes the components of the All Other Compensation column for 2011 in the Summary Compensation Table.

	Company		Term Life	Company			
	Contributions		Insurance	Contributions	Perquisites		
	to Deferred		Premiums	to 401(k)	and Other		
	Compensation	Tax	paid by	Retirement	Personal		
	Plan	Payments	Company	Savings Plan	Benefits	Other	Total
Name	(\$)	(\$)(1)	(\$)(2)	(\$)	(\$)(3)	(\$)	(\$)
Truman Hunt	93,000	3,234	6,876	9,800	26,694		139,604
Ritch Wood	44,000	3,234	1,138	9,800	23,305		81,477
Daniel Chard	42,500	3,234	1,058	9,800	16,239		72,831
Blake Roney		-27,910	1,680	9,800	13,002		52,392
Joseph Chang	52,500	3,234	3,270	9,800	15,514		84,318

- (1) This column reports amounts reimbursed by us for the payment of taxes with respect to travel of the named executive officers' spouses to distributor events where the spouse is expected to attend and help entertain and participate in events with distributors and their spouses. We have elected not to pay the income taxes associated with non-business related perquisites. For further discussion regarding tax payments, see the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Perquisites and Other Personal Benefits" section above.
- (2) This column reports premiums paid to obtain term life insurance policies with coverage, as of December 31, 2011, of \$500,000 for Mr. Chang; \$750,000 for Messrs. Hunt, Wood, and Chard; and \$1,000,000 for Mr. Roney.
- (3) This column reports our incremental cost for perquisites and personal benefits provided to the named executive officers. In 2011, these benefits included, among other things, the personal use of company-provided vehicles, properties, sporting event tickets, company products, prizes at company parties, and spouse travel to distributor events where the spouse is expected to attend and help entertain and participate in events with distributors and their spouses.

#### Grants of Plan-Based Awards - 2011

The following table provides information about equity and non-equity awards granted to the named executive officers in 2011.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future F under non-Equity Ir Plan Awards Threshold Target (\$)(1) (\$)(1)	icentive	Estimated under Eq Plan Threshold (#)(2)	uity Inc 1 Award	entive s	Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares	All Other Option Awards: Number of Securities Underlying Options (#)(3)	or Base Price of S	Value of Stock and Option
Truman Hunt	2/28/2011				75,000	75 000				 2,312,063
пиш	2/28/2011				/3,000		,	- 25,000		
	8/15/2011		_	_				-25,000 -25,000		232,302
	N/A	232,500 930,000	.860.000	)						
		, , ,		-						
Ritch										
Wood	2/28/2011			8,750	17,500	17,500	)		31.92	151,150
	2/28/2011			— 5,000	10,000	10,000	)			308,275
	2/28/2011							— 13,750		127,876
	8/15/2011							— 13,750	39.35	153,083
	N/A	66,000 264,000	528,000	)						
Danial										
Daniel Chard	2/28/2011		_		17,500	17 500	)		31.02	151,150
Cilaiu	2/28/2011				10,000					-308,275
	2/28/2011		_		10,000		, 	13,750	31.92	127,876
	8/15/2011							- 13,750		153,083
	N/A	63,750 255,000	510.000	)						
			,							
Blake										
Roney	N/A	120,000 480,000	960,000	)						
Joseph			_	—					—	
Chang	2/28/2011			3,750		,			31.92	64,778
	2/28/2011			- 2,500	5,000	5,000	)			— 37,838